



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Journal Pre-proof

Winter is coming! Clinical, immunological, and practical considerations for vaccinating patients with IBD during the COVID pandemic

Gil Y. Melmed, David T. Rubin, Dermot P.B. McGovern



PII: S0016-5085(20)35251-3
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.10.013>
Reference: YGAST 63819

To appear in: *Gastroenterology*
Accepted Date: 3 October 2020

Please cite this article as: Melmed GY, Rubin DT, McGovern DPB, Winter is coming! Clinical, immunological, and practical considerations for vaccinating patients with IBD during the COVID pandemic, *Gastroenterology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.10.013>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 by the AGA Institute

Winter is coming! Clinical, immunological, and practical considerations for vaccinating patients with IBD during the COVID pandemic

Gil Y. Melmed¹, David T. Rubin^{2,3}, Dermot P. B. McGovern¹

Affiliations:

¹F. Widjaja Foundation Inflammatory Bowel and Immunobiology Research Institute, Cedars Sinai Medical Center

²University of Chicago Medicine Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center

³MacLean Center for Clinical Medical Ethics

Correspondence:

David T. Rubin, MD, AGAF

5841 S. Maryland Ave.

MC 4076

Chicago, IL 60637

773-702-2950

drubin@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu

Conflicts of Interest:

GYM: Consultant (Abbvie, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Celgene, Genentech/Roch, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Medtronic, Nephroceuticals, Pfizer, Samsung Bioepis, Takeda, Techlab)

DTR: Consultant (Abbvie, Abgenomics, Biomica, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene Corp/Syneos, Dizal Pharmaceuticals, GalenPharma/Atlantica, Genentech/Roche, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline Services, InDex Pharmaceuticals, Iterative Scopes, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Lilly, Pfizer, Prometheus Laboratories, Reistone, Takeda, and Techlab)

DPBM: Consultant (Gilead Sciences, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer, Bridge Biotherapeutics, Qu Biologics, Prometheus Biosciences, Takeda). Grant support (Janssen). Stock: (Prometheus Biosciences).

Author Contributions:

Task	Authors
Concept and Design	GYM, DTR, DPBM
Acquisition and interpretation of data	GYM, DTR, DPBM
Drafting of manuscript	GYM, DTR, DPBM
Critical revision of manuscript	GYM, DTR, DPBM

Word Count: 2505 including headers and excluding references and tables

Keywords: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Vaccine; Inflammatory Bowel Disease; Ethics; Justice

As summer turns to fall, clinicians' minds usually turn to the upcoming winter season and preparing for winter infections, particularly influenza. In 2020, though, we face a new and formidable foe: SARS-CoV-2. While we hope that an effective vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 will soon be available, distribution of an effective vaccine is not likely to occur soon, so it is especially appropriate to use the other tools already at our disposal to keep our patients with IBD well. This includes making sure that our patients are in stable steroid-free remission, but also guaranteeing that they are updated regarding other vaccinations. We need to ensure that our patients are educated and encouraged to receive the influenza vaccination as well as vaccinations against pneumococcal infection. Discriminating COVID-19 from influenza or other respiratory infections based on symptoms alone will be difficult and concomitant infection with SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens will likely increase morbidity and mortality. In this commentary, we outline the utility and rationale for existing practices related to vaccine-preventable illnesses in IBD, and discuss the emerging science and ethical challenges related to the highly anticipated vaccines against SARS-CoV-2.

Preparing Patients with IBD for Influenza Season

Many studies have evaluated the efficacy of vaccines among patients with IBD, including those on different immunomodulatory regimens.^{1, 2} There are several important messages to be learned from these studies. First, vaccine efficacy may be blunted by immunomodulators, some biologics, and corticosteroids. However, a blunted response does not necessarily translate to vaccine inefficacy, and partial protection is better than none. Therefore, vaccines should not be withheld just because a patient is receiving immunosuppressive treatment for their IBD.³ Second, vaccination while on immunosuppressive treatments may be associated with an accelerated waning of protective antibody titers.^{4, 5} The implications of this phenomenon are not entirely

clear, although for some infections like hepatitis B, it may be appropriate to assess post-vaccination titers and consider additional booster dosing for low or absent titers.⁴ Third, predictors of efficacy relevant to the general population are also applicable to those with IBD. These may include age, gender, body mass index, prior infection, immune compromise or senescence, and genetic polymorphisms.⁶ Older patients are generally more vulnerable to infections, and the ability to mount a robust vaccine response tends to decrease with age. Genetic polymorphisms may be associated with blunted vaccine responses, although it is unclear how these translate into differences in efficacy. Finally, the risk of an IBD relapse from vaccination is reassuringly negligible; published evidence to date does not suggest an association between any specific vaccine and exacerbation of IBD.

This year, because of clinical similarities between COVID-19 and influenza, vaccination against influenza is a critically important public health recommendation and highly relevant for patients with IBD who are both at increased risk for acquiring influenza and at increased risk from complications thereof.⁷ Vaccination against influenza is recommended annually due to antigenic drift, or mutations in the surface hemagglutinin or neuraminidase proteins involved in host recognition of the viral particle which render each season's influenza viruses sufficiently different from past viruses to evade previous host immunity. Despite the variable effectiveness of the vaccine, influenza vaccination has been shown to reduce the signs and symptoms of the flu, reduce hospitalizations, reduce mortality in children and adults, prevent disease in those with chronic health conditions, protect women during and after pregnancy, reduce the severity of the flu among those with partial immunity, and provide herd immunity for those susceptible to infection.⁸⁻¹¹ For the 2020-2021 season, both trivalent and quadrivalent vaccines have been developed based on WHO recommendations.¹² These vaccines are available as high dose

vaccines (for those age ≥ 65), egg-free (for those with egg allergies), and as a live-attenuated nasal vaccine. All individuals older than 6 months are advised to receive influenza vaccination with any of the approved formulations. For patients with IBD on immunosuppressive therapies, any inactivated vaccine would be appropriate, but the live-attenuated intranasal formulation is not. High-dose and quadrivalent influenza vaccines have been evaluated in patients with IBD, and should be considered, especially among those older than 65.¹³

Pneumococcal vaccination is recommended for patients with IBD, especially if on immunosuppression or age ≥ 60 years.¹⁴ Pneumococcal vaccination is available as a 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine, and a 13-valent conjugate vaccine. Immunosuppressed patients should receive the conjugate vaccine, followed by the polysaccharide vaccine at least 8 weeks later, and a polysaccharide vaccine booster every 5 years.¹⁵

Progress in the Development of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines

The international effort to deploy safe and effective vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 is unprecedented, compressing vaccine development time from decades to 1-2 years. At the time of this writing there are over 90 animal studies of preclinical vaccines, and approximately 40 human vaccine studies in progress. This is remarkable, given that the sequence of the virus genome only became available in January 2020. A number of different approaches for developing vaccines that stimulate production of neutralizing antibodies against the viral receptor binding domain (RBD) and other Spike 'S' protein epitopes have been deployed including:

1. 'Genetic' vaccines based on inoculation with viral RNA or DNA (Moderna/NIH – lipid-nanoparticle (LNP) encapsulated mRNA: Pfizer/BioNTech/Fosua Pharma – 3 LNP mRNAs).
2. Non-replicating (simian or human) viral vector vaccines in which viruses are engineered to carry coronavirus genes (CanSino Biologics – Adenovirus type 5 vector: Gamaleya Research Institute – Adenovirus-based vector: Astra-Zeneca/University of Oxford – Simian ChAdOx1-S vector).
3. Vaccines that contain whole or fragments of coronavirus proteins such as the 'Spike' protein and often administered together with an adjuvant to enhance immune responses (none in phase 3 currently and a number in Phase 2).
4. Inactivated virus-based vaccines (Sinovac: Wuhan Institute of Biological Products/Sinopharm; Beijing Institute of Biological Products/Sinopharm).

In addition to these novel approaches, a number of groups are looking to repurpose existing vaccines, the most high-profile of which is the anti-tuberculosis Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine, currently in phase 3 studies (BRACE trial, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Australia). The rationale for BCG includes intriguing epidemiological data suggesting decreased mortality from COVID-19 in populations previously exposed to BCG.¹⁶ Additional updated information about active phase 3 vaccine trials are available at <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/draft-landscape-of-covid-19-candidate-vaccines>.

Current vaccination regimens being studied in phase 3 include both single-dose approaches as well as 2-dose strategies that vary anywhere from 28 days to 56 days between doses. Human and animal data on both humoral and cellular immune responses to the vaccines have been promising and to date, safety signals have been reassuring.¹⁷ Even if the vaccine

studies show efficacy, many outstanding questions remain and some are particularly pertinent to the IBD community. Can we extrapolate efficacy and safety results from a generally healthy, mostly White, European ancestry population to groups who may be particularly vulnerable to COVID-19, including immunocompromised, the elderly, and black/Latinx populations? Furthermore, what are the effects of the vaccines in individuals who are elderly and who also have comorbidities such as IBD? While safety signals have been reassuring to date, there have been media reports that the Astra Zeneca/Oxford study temporarily stopped recruitment due to a case of transverse myelitis (although it is unclear whether this was vaccine-related or not¹⁸). It is unclear whether rare immune-mediated reactions such as immune complex-related phenomena or antibody-dependent enhancement (where vaccines induce binding antibodies that facilitate viral entry into cells instead of inducing neutralizing antibodies) are more common (or less common?) in patients with ongoing systemic inflammation, or in those receiving immunosuppressants. The complex lipid delivery systems necessary for delivering the mRNA ('naked' mRNA is rapidly degraded) in the 'Moderna' and 'Pfizer' studies can induce inflammatory responses often manifested by fever, and it is unclear whether these can also trigger further inflammatory responses. Non-replicating viral vector vaccines require extremely large numbers (100's of millions) of viruses to be delivered and these types of inoculations have, in the past, resulted in cytokine 'storms'.¹⁹ Will individuals treated with anti-cytokine therapies/immunocompromised be at increased (or decreased!) risk of these types of adverse events? It also remains unclear whether prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 will increase the likelihood of vaccine-related adverse events. While these phenomena are relevant to the general population there are theoretic reasons, at least, suggesting that the IBD population may be at increased risk of some. IBD healthcare

providers will therefore need vigilance for these types of reactions to inform vaccine-specific counseling to patients with IBD receiving a vaccine.

Beyond safety it will of course be important to evaluate vaccine efficacy in patients with IBD given experience with other vaccines. How efficacious are the vaccines in the setting of systemic inflammation or immunotherapy? Will higher doses or additional boosters be needed, and how long will immunity last? Do distinct vaccine modalities induce differences in the degree and/or duration of immunity in patients with immune mediated diseases? It is also still unknown which measure of humoral and cellular immune responses will best determine immunity and whether this will be different in patients with IBD. To answer these and questions about safety, it is vital that patients with IBD be closely monitored and studied in prospective cohorts with serial assessments after receiving any vaccine.

Ethical and Logistical Considerations for Dissemination of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines

There are a number of ethical considerations to the development and distribution of vaccinations for SARS-CoV-2 that must be considered and are similar to considerations for any new medical intervention and for any limited resource. First, as mentioned above and specifically related to beneficence and non-maleficence, because patients with immune conditions, including those with IBD, are excluded from the clinical trials of vaccine effectiveness and safety, extrapolation of results from such trials will be necessary. Additional post-marketing studies will be necessary in such populations. While our understanding of existing vaccines in patients with IBD will likely translate to these new vaccines, there will remain important studies that must occur.

Second, is the ethical issue of justice, and allocation of a scarce resource. We will need to grapple with decisions about who should receive the vaccines, which will likely have distribution and availability limitations initially. The CDC has proffered a tiered approach (Tier 1 high priority, Tier 5 low priority) for vaccine distribution based on population categories and severity of the pandemic (low to very high severity).²⁰ In their table, most health care workers are considered Tier 1 and would receive the vaccine early for any degree of severity of the pandemic. Among patient types, pregnant women, infants and toddlers are also considered Tier 1 for all degrees of severity of the pandemic. Adults age 19-64 years old with high risk conditions are tier 2 for low severity pandemic, but tier 3 and 4 for moderate or high/very high severity pandemic. It is likely that patients with IBD, despite the available data that suggest that they are not at high risk for adverse COVID-19 outcomes, will be stratified into the high-risk population. It is essential that we continue to educate our patients with IBD about their actual risks based on available and reassuring data.²¹

It is expected that there will be a great demand for the vaccines. As healthcare providers and physician leaders, it is our responsibility to manage the needs of our most at-risk patients and to distribute a limited resource equitably.²² This translates into an approach such that older patients with IBD, patients who are steroid-dependent, and those with other established COVID-relevant co-morbidities (obesity, hypertension, diabetes, etc) should receive vaccines before other patients. Additional factors of consideration will be based on regional prevalence of COVID-19 and then separately, ethnicity and race, recognizing the data of increased risk of infection and adverse outcomes in black and Latinx populations. The risk of infection and adverse outcomes should be evaluated in conjunction with practical considerations of access to healthcare access. Nationally organized updates and a national surveillance plan for SARS-CoV-

2 prevalence will be needed, and in the absence of such organization, local and regional public health officials should guide distribution and provide regular updates.

Finally, it is essential that we actively address misinformation and conspiracy theories about vaccinations in general, and about the new SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. It is unfortunate that the scientific method and regulatory pathways for medical therapies and vaccines have become politicized and even discarded. We must promote reliable, scientifically rigorous information and consider the public health implications of an effectively vaccinated population. This will include highlighting limitations to the datasets and advocating on behalf of our patients if there is insufficient information from which to draw conclusions. Specifically, we should proactively remind our patients that IBD is not caused or exacerbated by vaccinations, and that the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines will not have risk of causing COVID-19.

Pressing Research Questions for the IBD Population

The information about SARS-CoV-2 and IBD is evolving rapidly, but there remain many uncertainties, and a pressing need for ongoing research. What is the true prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 in the IBD population and how does that compare with non-IBD populations? A recent study from Germany demonstrated low SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in anti-cytokine exposed patients with immune-mediated conditions, but the reasons for this finding are not known.²³ Is this a result of medication-related protection from infection, reduction in sustained immunity, a result of disease-associated biology, or due to increased sheltering? Will vaccine responses be different in patients with IBD and what will be the effect of immune modifying medications on seroconversion and durability of immunity after vaccination? Will the specific mechanism and dosing regimen of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine be important considerations for those

on immune modifying therapies? Following recovery from COVID-19, when can IBD patients restart medications and which medications would be the safest?

Conclusions

Patients with IBD look to their gastroenterologists as the most reliable sources of accurate information regarding preventive vaccinations,²⁴ so we must ourselves be informed and educated in order to provide clear, unambiguous messages to our patients and the medical communities in which we practice. First, we should advise all patients with IBD, regardless of immunosuppression, to receive inactivated influenza vaccination and pneumococcal immunization. We must also be ready to respond to anti-vaccination tropes and non-scientifically valid arguments against preventive immunizations. Second, we should stay apprised of the progress of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and anticipate that our patients will look to us for guidance. Thus far, we can reassure our patients that the major candidate vaccine mechanisms appear safe for most, if not all patient populations, but that further clarity may be needed among those who are immunosuppressed. While it is unlikely that any vaccine will be 100% effective against SARS-CoV-2 transmission, the most effective prevention strategy will include both vaccination and continued hygiene practices including handwashing and judicious masking and physical distancing. Third, we must acknowledge that the initial demand for vaccines will exceed supply, and that a tiered approach to vaccine prioritization is ethically valid. This will involve prioritizing healthcare workers, vulnerable populations with increased risk for COVID-19 complications. Finally, we should seek opportunities within the IBD community to enroll patients in post-marketing registries to better understand the effects of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines on

various IBD population profiles and establish evidence to further guide optimal vaccination strategies for our patients with IBD. Winter may be coming, but can Spring be far behind?²⁵

Table 1: Factors Impacting Vaccine Failure

Categories	Factors Impacting Vaccine Response	Specific Relevance to Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease
Pathogen Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antigenic drift/distance between vaccine and circulating strains • Co-infections—interference • Viral decoy mechanisms • Viral immune evasion mechanisms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Theoretically increased risk for co-infection with other viruses (eg. Influenza)
Host Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Immunocompromised • Co-morbidities • Age/immunosenescence • Obesity • Genetic restriction • Medications • Negative interference • Role of humoral vs. cellular immunity • Waning immunity • Pre-existing infection • Immunologic interference 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Immunocompromised status from malnutrition and/or medications • Co-morbidities as relevant (age, obesity, underlying cardiopulmonary morbidity) • Medications -corticosteroids, immunomodulators, anti-TNF therapies • Waning immunity seen with other vaccines
Vaccine Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improper administration • Differences in immunogenicity (adjuvanted vs. non-adjuvanted) • Dosage and number of doses • Time between vaccination and development of immunity • Egg-passage-induced antigenic changes in vaccine • Handling • Temperature inactivation • Vaccine-vaccine interference 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential need for alternate dosage / number of doses / timing of vaccinations based on concurrent medications
Study/Study Design Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geography • Confounding biases • Efficacy vs. effectiveness • Prevalence of infection • Study design • Specificity of study outcomes • Year of study • Laboratory assays used • Incubation period vs. timing of immunization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efficacy determined in trials unlikely to include patients with IBD / immunocompromised • Effectiveness for those with IBD will need to be studied in registries and post-marketing studies

Table Adapted from CIOMS/WHO Working Group on Vaccine Pharmacovigilance. Definition and Application of Terms for Vaccine Pharmacovigilance.²⁶

Table 2: Considerations for SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Distribution as it Relates to Patients with IBD
Initial distribution of any limited supplies of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine should be determined based on the following risk factors:

Individual Risk Factors	Age >65 Hypertension Diabetes Obesity
IBD-Related Risk Factors	Steroid use (probably >20 mg/d prednisone equivalent)/steroid dependence Moderately to severely active disease (possibly)
Geographic Risk Factors	Local/regional prevalence of COVID-19 based on test positive rate (often >8% triggers mitigation plans) or by R_e (reproductive rate of the virus in the population after social distancing and other plans are activated)
Likelihood of Occupational Exposure to SARS-CoV-2	Patients with IBD who are health care workers, teachers, daycare workers, other essential workers

References

1. Melmed GY, Agarwal N, Frenck RW, et al. Immunosuppression impairs response to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:148-54.
2. Cullen G, Bader C, Korzenik JR, et al. Serological response to the 2009 H1N1 influenza vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 2012;61:385-91.
3. Melmed GY. Vaccinations while on thiopurines: some protection is better than none. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:141-2.
4. Gisbert JP, Villagrasa JR, Rodriguez-Nogueiras A, et al. Kinetics of anti-hepatitis B surface antigen titers after hepatitis B vaccination in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2013;19:554-8.
5. Cleveland NK, Rodriguez D, Wichman A, et al. Many Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients Are Not Immune to Measles or Pertussis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2016;61:2972-2976.
6. Poland GA. Influenza vaccine failure: failure to protect or failure to understand? *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2018;17:495-502.
7. Tinsley A, Navabi S, Williams ED, et al. Increased Risk of Influenza and Influenza-Related Complications Among 140,480 Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2019;25:369-376.
8. Reed C, Kim IK, Singleton JA, et al. Estimated influenza illnesses and hospitalizations averted by vaccination--United States, 2013-14 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2014;63:1151-4.
9. Rondy M, El Omeiri N, Thompson MG, et al. Effectiveness of influenza vaccines in preventing severe influenza illness among adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of test-negative design case-control studies. *J Infect* 2017;75:381-394.
10. Madhi SA, Cutland CL, Kuwanda L, et al. Influenza vaccination of pregnant women and protection of their infants. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:918-31.
11. Thompson MG, Pierse N, Sue Huang Q, et al. Influenza vaccine effectiveness in preventing influenza-associated intensive care admissions and attenuating severe disease among adults in New Zealand 2012-2015. *Vaccine* 2018;36:5916-5925.
12. <https://www.who.int/influenza/vaccines/virus/recommendations/en/>. Volume 2020.
13. Caldera F, Hillman L, Saha S, et al. Immunogenicity of High Dose Influenza Vaccine for Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease on Anti-TNF Monotherapy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020;26:593-602.
14. Farraye FA, Melmed GY, Lichtenstein GR, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Preventive Care in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017;112:241-258.
15. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/pneumo/hcp/recommendations.html>. Volume 2020.
16. Escobar LE, Molina-Cruz A, Barillas-Mury C. BCG vaccine protection from severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020;117:17720-17726.
17. Kaur SP, Gupta V. COVID-19 Vaccine: A comprehensive status report. *Virus Res* 2020;288:198114.
18. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-54082192>.
19. Logunov DY, Dolzhikova IV, Zubkova OV, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine in two formulations: two open, non-randomised phase 1/2 studies from Russia. *Lancet* 2020.
20. <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/pandemic-resources/national-strategy/planning-guidance/index.html>. Volume 2020, 2020.

21. **Brenner EJ, Ungaro RC**, Gearry RB, et al. Corticosteroids, But Not TNF Antagonists, Are Associated With Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: Results From an International Registry. *Gastroenterology* 2020;159:481-491 e3.
22. Persad G, Peek ME, Emanuel EJ. Fairly Prioritizing Groups for Access to COVID-19 Vaccines. *JAMA* 2020.
23. **Simon D, Tascilar K**, Kronke G, et al. Patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases receiving cytokine inhibitors have low prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:3774.
24. Yeung JH, Goodman KJ, Fedorak RN. Inadequate knowledge of immunization guidelines: A missed opportunity for preventing infection in immunocompromised IBD patients. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2012;18:34-40.
25. Shelley PB. *Ode to the West Wind*. Prometheus Unbound. London, England: C and J Ollier, 1820.
26. http://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/initiative/tools/CIOMS_report_WG_vaccine.pdf. Volume 2020, 2011.

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.